| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 09/963,859 | HASEGAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Matthew L. Brooks | 3629 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Matthew L. Brooks. | (3) | |
| (2) Hristo Vachovsky. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 21 August 2008 | Time: Afternoon | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 5 and 8 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: General allowability of claim 5 and 8 | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| /John G. Weiss/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629 | aliant Andline da D | in Gianta (Garagaira) |
| (A | .pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive oignature – ii appropriate) |